

## **Europe's Waters today**

**Assessment of Member States' 2nd River Basin Management Plans**Update from the European Commission

#### **Hans Stielstra**

Deputy Head Of Unit – Clean Water European Commission DG Environment



### **Reporting under the Water Framework Directive**

**Article 15** 

**Article 18** 

Article 18.5

- Member States to report River Basin Management Plans
- All reported albeit with some significant delays and reporting still incomplete
- Commission report to the European Parliament and Council (legal deadline 22 December 2018)
- Report also on international Basins and 1st Flood Risk Management Plans (Article 7 Floods Directive)

Water conferences



## What is the Commission looking at?



Comprehensive picture presented in previous implementation reports



Focus of current assessment of RBMPs: progress since first cycle and follow-up to Commission recommendations



Basis for analysis: information reported by Member States; a number of other sources serve as complementary information

Main areas: governance; monitoring, assessment and classification of status; designation of Highly Modified Water Bodies; environmental objectives and exemptions; diffuse pollution; economic analysis; droughts etc.





## **Preliminary Findings – by main topics**

Governance:
broad participation and
active involvement of
stakeholders mostly
ensured

Knowledge of causes:
much better knowledge of
current status, main reasons for
failure to achieve good status,
interaction between pressures
and needed measures. However,
justification for excluding some
pressures should be improved
and drivers behind some
hydromorphological alterations
still need to be better
understood

Monitoring:
Monitoring networks and
their coverage have been
revised. However, still
some gaps, including on
monitoring frequency.
Measurement of Priority
Substances very diverse
across the EU



## **Preliminary Findings – by main topics**

#### Status assessment:

progress on methods and confidence level; further efforts needed for coastal and transitional waters and to translate results of intercalibration exercise into national methods. Some improvement also on methodology to establish Good Ecological Potential.

#### **Exemptions:**

some improvement in justifications but still too often applied. More Article 4(7) exemptions for new physical modifications for new infrastructure projects, more projects in pipeline, and more exemptions may be applied in future.

#### **Programmes of Measures:**

progress made with implementation of first programme, although a lack of finance is a significant obstacle; most Member States improved identification of gap to good status for each significant pressure and required level of implementation of measures.

#### Impacts from Agriculture:

basic measures mostly in place but in half the cases, recommended gap assessment not carried out

## Pressures other than agriculture:

measures generally in place, including substance-specific but more progress needed



## **Preliminary Findings – by main topics**



#### **Hydromorphology**

- measures in place and link with economic sectors better understood;
- •more progress needed on defining and implementing minimum ecological flows



#### **Economic analysis**

- limited number of Member States upgraded water pricing policies;
- significant gaps remain in translating analysis into concrete measures



#### **Protected areas**

 Additional efforts still required to ensure appropriate protection and management (drinking water, nature, shellfish production areas, bathing waters, etc.).



#### **Droughts**

• Considered relevant in about half of Member States; not all relevant basins have Drought Management Plans



## **Preliminary Findings – overall**

Substantial improvements in levels of knowledge and reporting more comprehensive and reliable.

Compliance with WFD objectives increasing gradually. Groundwater bodies largely in good status but surface water bodies lagging behind, even if individual quality elements have improved in many cases.

<u>.</u>

Improved implementation of related legislation has had a positive effect on water status, in particular of the Urban Waste Water Treatment, the Nitrates, and Industrial Emissions Directives and EU law related to chemicals

However, significant effort still to be made to overcome the remaining challenges by the end of the third cycle (i.e. by 2027). Implementation urgently needs to accelerate



#### **Preliminary Findings – Flood Risk Management Plans**

Member States made good efforts in preparation of 1<sup>st</sup> FRMPs with solid results

Nevertheless, several challenges remain, which will need to be tackled during the preparation of the 2<sup>nd</sup> FRMPs

## Areas requiring further attention in many FRMPs include for example:

- making objectives more specific or measurable;
- establishing clear links between objectives and measures to achieve them;
  - more complete cost estimates and methods of prioritisation of measures;
  - further developed links with climate change adaptation strategies



## **Next steps**

EEA State of Water 2018
Report and forthcoming
Commission report
together provide most
comprehensive and
reliable picture to date

On this basis,
Commission will continue
to work with Member
States (collectively and
bilaterally) and
stakeholders to promote
compliance

Commission will also continue enforcement action where warranted

Next work programme of the Common Implementation Strategy (2019-2021)

Fitness Check of EU Water Law (2019)



# Thank you for your attention